arXiv:1106.3608v2 [math.RA] 15 Dec 2011

CODIMENSIONS OF POLYNOMIAL IDENTITIES OF REPRESENTATIONS OF LIE ALGEBRAS

A. S. GORDIENKO

Abstract. Consider a representation $\rho\colon L \to \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ where L is a Lie algebra and V is a finite dimensional vector space. We prove the analog of Amitsur's conjecture on asymptotic behavior for codimensions of polynomial identities of ρ.

1. Introduction

In the 80's, conjectures about the asymptotic behaviour of codimensions of polynomial identities were made by S.A. Amitsur and A. Regev. Amitsur's conjecture was proved in 1999 by A. Giambruno and M.V. Zaicev [1, Chapter 6, Theorem 5.2, p.143] for associative algebras and in 2002 by M.V. Zaicev [2] for finite dimensional Lie algebras. Alongside with polynomial identities of algebras, polynomial identities of representations are also important [3, 4]. Therefore the question arises whether the conjectures hold for codimensions of representations.

Denote by $F\langle X\rangle$ the free associative algebra on the countable set $X = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots\}$, i.e. the algebra of polynomials without a constant term in the noncommuting variables X over a field F of characteristic 0. Let $\rho\colon L \to \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ be a linear representation of a Lie algebra L on a vector space V over F. Let $f = f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in F\langle X\rangle$. We say that f is a *polynomial identity* of ρ if $f(\rho(a_1), \ldots, \rho(a_n))v = 0$ for all $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in L$, $v \in V$. The set $\mathrm{Id}(\rho)$ of polynomial identities of ρ is a two-sided ideal of $F\langle X\rangle$. Let P_n be the space of multilinear polynomials in the noncommuting variables $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$. The non-negative integer $c_n(\rho) = \dim \frac{P_n}{P_n \cap \mathrm{Id}(\rho)}$ is called the nth *codimension* of the representation ρ.

Another approach to polynomial identities of representations is concerned with representations of Lie algebras in associative algebras. Let $\tau\colon L \to A_0$ be a Lie homomorphism where L is a Lie F-algebra and A_0 is an associative F-algebra. Let $f = f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in F\langle X\rangle$. We say that f is a *polynomial identity* of τ if $f(\tau(a_1), \ldots, \tau(a_n)) = 0$ for all $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in L$. Again, the set $\mathrm{Id}(\tau)$ of polynomial identities of τ is a two-sided ideal of $F\langle X\rangle$. The non-negative integer $c_n(\tau) = \dim \frac{P_n}{P_n \cap \mathrm{Id}(\tau)}$ is called the nth *codimension* of the representation τ.

These approaches are equivalent. The first one is a partial case of the second one with $A_0 = \mathrm{End}_F(V)$ and $\tau = \rho$. The second one is a partial case of the first one since $\mathrm{Id}(\tau) = \mathrm{Id}(\rho)$ where $\rho\colon L \to \mathfrak{gl}_F(A_0 + F \cdot 1)$, $\rho(a) \cdot b = \tau(a)b$, $a \in L$, $b \in A_0 + F \cdot 1$.

Throughout the paper we use the first approach.

Yu. A. Bahturin has suggested to consider an analog of S. A. Amitsur's conjecture for representations.

Conjecture. *There exists* $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \sqrt[n]{c_n(\rho)} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Theorem 1. *Let $\rho\colon L \to \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ be a representation of a Lie algebra L on a finite dimensional vector space V over a field F of characteristic 0. Then either there exist constants*

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B01; Secondary 16R10, 17B10, 20C30.

Key words and phrases. Lie algebra, polynomial identity, codimension, cocharacter, symmetric group, Young diagram.

Supported by post doctoral fellowship from Atlantic Association for Research in Mathematical Sciences (AARMS), Atlantic Algebra Centre (AAC), Memorial University of Newfoundland (MUN), and Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC).

$C_1, C_2 > 0$, $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $C_1 n^{r_1} d^n \leqslant c_n(\rho) \leqslant C_2 n^{r_2} d^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ or the equality $c_n(\rho) = 0$ holds for all sufficiently large n.

Corollary. *Analog of Amitsur's conjecture holds for such representations.*

Our proof follows the outline of the proof by M.V. Zaicev [2]. However, in many cases we need to apply new ideas.

Codimensions of representations do not change upon an extension of the base field. The proof is analogous to the cases of codimensions of associative [1, Theorem 4.1.9] and Lie algebras [2, Section 2]. Thus without loss of generality we may assume F to be algebraically closed.

Fix a Levi decomposition $\rho(L) = G + R$ where G is a maximal semisimple subalgebra of $\rho(L)$ and R is a solvable radical of $\rho(L)$. Denote by A the associative subalgebra of $\operatorname{End}_F(V)$ generated by $\rho(L)$. Then A becomes a G-module under the natural left action by multiplication.

Consider left associative ideals $I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_r, J_1, J_2, \ldots, J_r$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, of the algebra A such that $J_k \supseteq I_k$, satisfying the conditions

1. I_k/J_k is an irreducible A-module or $\dim(I_k/J_k) = 1$;
2. for any G-submodules T_k such that $I_k = J_k \oplus T_k$, there exist elements $q_1, \ldots, q_{r-1} \in A \cup \{1\}$ such that $T_1 q_1 T_2 \ldots T_{r-1} q_{r-1} T_r \neq 0$.

Let M be a left A-module. Denote by $\operatorname{Ann} M$ its annihilator in A. Let

$$d = d(\rho) = \max \dim \frac{\rho(L)}{\rho(L) \cap \operatorname{Ann}(I_1/J_1) \cap \cdots \cap \operatorname{Ann}(I_r/J_r)}$$

where the summation runs over all $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and all $I_1, \ldots, I_r$, $J_1, \ldots, J_r$ satisfying Conditions 1–2. We claim that $d(\rho)$ coincides with d from Theorem 1.

We need several auxiliary lemmas.

Lemma 1. *$[\rho(L), R] \subseteq J(A)$ where $J(A)$ is the Jacobson radical of A.*

Proof. Let $V = V_0 \supseteq V_1 \supseteq V_2 \supseteq \ldots \supseteq V_t = \{0\}$ be a composition chain in V. Then each V_i/V_{i+1} is an irreducible $\rho(L)$-module. Denote the corresponding homomorphism by $\varphi_i \colon \rho(L) \to \mathfrak{gl}(V_i/V_{i+1})$. Then by E. Cartan's theorem [5, Proposition 1.4.11], $\varphi_i(\rho(L))$ is semisimple or a direct sum of a semisimple ideal and the center of $\mathfrak{gl}(V_i/V_{i+1})$. Thus $\varphi_i([\rho(L), \rho(L)])$ is semisimple and $\varphi_i([\rho(L), \rho(L)] \cap R) = 0$. Since $[\rho(L), R] \subseteq [\rho(L), \rho(L)] \cap R$, we have $\varphi_i([\rho(L), R]) = 0$. Therefore $a_1 a_2 \ldots a_t = 0$ for all $a_i \in [\rho(L), R]$. We claim that an associative ideal of A generated by $[\rho(L), R]$ is nilpotent. It is sufficient to prove that $a_1(b_{11} \ldots b_{1k_1}) a_2 (b_{21} \ldots b_{2k_2}) a_3 \ldots a_t = 0$ for all $a_i \in [\rho(L), R]$ and $b_{ij} \in \rho(L)$. We prove this by induction on $k_1 + k_2 + \cdots + k_{t-1}$. Indeed, if $k_1 > 0$, then $a_1(b_{11} \ldots b_{1k_1}) a_2 (b_{21} \ldots b_{2k_2}) a_3 \ldots a_t = [a_1, b_{11}](b_{12} \ldots b_{1k_1}) a_2 (b_{21} \ldots b_{2k_2}) a_3 \ldots a_t + b_{11} a_1 (b_{12} \ldots b_{1k_1}) a_2 (b_{21} \ldots b_{2k_2}) a_3 \ldots a_t = 0$. In the case $k_1 = 0$ we are reducing k_2 and so on. □

Lemma 2. *There exists a subspace $S \subseteq R$ such that $R = S + \rho(L) \cap J(A)$ is a direct sum of subspaces and $[G, S] = 0$.*

Proof. There is a natural ad-action of G on R: $a(\operatorname{ad} g) = [a, g]$, $a \in R$, $g \in G$. Since $\rho(L) \cap J(A)$ is a submodule of R and G is semisimple, there exists a complimentary G-submodule S. Thus $[G, S] \subseteq S$. In virtue of Lemma 1, $[G, S] \subseteq [\rho(L), R] \subseteq \rho(L) \cap J(A)$. Therefore, $[G, S] = 0$. □

Lemma 3. *Let M be an irreducible A-module. Then either M is an irreducible G-module or $\dim M = 1$. Furthermore, S acts on M by scalar operators.*

Proof. Note that M is an irreducible $\rho(L)$-module. By Lemma 1 , $[\rho(L), R] \subseteq \rho(L) \cap J(A)$. Therefore $[\rho(L), R] M \subseteq J(A) M = 0$ and, in virtue of Shur's lemma, $S \subseteq R$ acts by scalar operators on M. Thus all subspaces in M invariant under the action of G are invariant under the action of $\rho(L)$. ☐

Lemma 4. *Let M be an irreducible A-module. Then*

$$\operatorname{Ann}_{\rho(L)} M = \operatorname{Ann}_G M + \operatorname{Ann}_S M + (\rho(L) \cap J(A)).$$

Proof. If M is an irreducible A-module, then $J(A)M = 0$. Thus it is sufficient to prove that if $g + s \in \operatorname{Ann}_{\rho(L)} M$, $g \in G$, $s \in S$, then $g \in \operatorname{Ann}_G M$ and $s \in \operatorname{Ann}_S M$. Denote $\varphi\colon \rho(L) \to \mathfrak{gl}(M)$. Note that $sm = -gm$ for all $m \in M$ since $g + s \in \operatorname{Ann}_{\rho(L)} M$. By Lemma 3, s is a scalar operator on M. Therefore, g is a scalar operator on M too and $\varphi(g)$ belongs to the center of the semisimple algebra $\varphi(G)$. Hence $\varphi(g) = 0$ and this finishes the proof of the lemma. ☐

One of the main tools in the investigation of polynomial identities is provided by the representation theory of symmetric groups. The symmetric group S_n acts on the space $\frac{P_n}{P_n \cap Id(\rho)}$ by permuting the variables. Irreducible FS_n-modules are described by partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_s) \vdash n$ and their Young diagrams D_λ. The character $\chi_n(\rho)$ of the FS_n-module $\frac{P_n}{P_n \cap Id(\rho)}$ is called the nth *cocharacter* of the representation ρ. We can rewrite it as a sum $\chi_n(\rho) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} m(\rho, \lambda)\chi(\lambda)$ of irreducible characters $\chi(\lambda)$. Let $e_{T_\lambda} = a_{T_\lambda} b_{T_\lambda}$ and $e^*_{T_\lambda} = b_{T_\lambda} a_{T_\lambda}$ where $a_{T_\lambda} = \sum_{\pi \in R_{T_\lambda}} \pi$ and $b_{T_\lambda} = \sum_{\sigma \in C_{T_\lambda}} (\operatorname{sign} \sigma)\sigma$, be Young symmetrizers corresponding to a Young tableau T_λ. Then $M(\lambda) = FSe_{T_\lambda} \cong FSe^*_{T_\lambda}$ is an irreducible FS_n-module corresponding to a partition $\lambda \vdash n$. We refer the reader to [1, 6, 7] for an account of S_n-representations and their applications to polynomial identities.

2. Upper bound

Fix a composition chain of A-submodules

$$A = B_0 \supsetneqq B_1 \supsetneqq B_2 \supsetneqq \ldots \supsetneqq J(A) \supsetneqq \ldots \supsetneqq B_{\theta-1} \supsetneqq B_\theta = \{0\}$$

in the module A. Let $\operatorname{ht} a = \max_{a \in B_k} k$ for $a \in A$.

Let $Y = \{y_{11}, y_{12}, \ldots, y_{1j_1}; y_{21}, y_{22}, \ldots, y_{2j_2}; \ldots; y_{m1}, y_{m2}, \ldots, y_{mj_m}\}$, $Y_1, \ldots, Y_q$, and $\{z_1, \ldots, z_m\}$ be subsets of $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$ such that $Y_i \subseteq Y$, $|Y_i| = d + 1$, $Y_i \cap Y_j = \varnothing$ for $i \ne j$, $Y \cap \{z_1, \ldots, z_m\} = \varnothing$, $j_i \geqslant 0$. Denote

$$g_{m,q} = \operatorname{Alt}_1 \ldots \operatorname{Alt}_q((y_{11}y_{12}\ldots y_{1j_1})z_1(y_{21}y_{22}\ldots y_{2j_2})z_2\ldots(y_{m1}y_{m2}\ldots y_{mj_m})z_m)$$

where Alt_i is the operator of alternation on the variables of Y_i.

Let $\varphi\colon F\langle X\rangle \to A$ be a homomorphism induced by a substitution $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\} \to \rho(L)$. We say that φ is *proper* for $g_{m,q}$ if $\varphi(z_i) \in \rho(L) \cap J(A)$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m-1$, $\varphi(z_m) \in (\rho(L) \cap J(A)) \cup G \cup S$, and $\varphi(y_{ik}) \in G \cup S$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$, $1 \leqslant k \leqslant j_i$.

Lemma 5. *Let φ be a proper homomorphism for $g_{m,q}$. Then $\varphi(g_{m,q})$ can be rewritten as a sum of $\psi(g_{m+1,q'})$ where ψ is a proper homomorphism for $g_{m+1,q'}$, $q' \geqslant q - (\dim A)m - 2$. ($Y'$, Y'_i, $z'_1, \ldots, z'_{m+1}$ may be different for different items).*

Proof. Let $\alpha_i = \operatorname{ht} \varphi(z_i)$. We will use induction on $\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i$. (The sum will grow.) Note that $\alpha_i \leqslant \theta \leqslant \dim A$. Denote $I_i = B_{\alpha_i}$, $J_i = B_{\alpha_i + 1}$.

First, consider the case when $I_1, \ldots, I_m$, $J_1, \ldots, J_m$ do not satisfy Conditions 1–2. In this case we can choose G-submodules T_i, $I_i = T_i \oplus J_i$, such that $T_1 h_1 T_2 h_2 \ldots h_{m-1} T_m = 0$ for all $h_i \in A \cup \{1\}$. Rewrite $\varphi(z_i) = a'_i + a''_i$, $a'_i \in T_i$, $a''_i \in J_i$. Note that $\operatorname{ht} a''_i > \operatorname{ht} \varphi(z_i)$. Since $g_{m,q}$ is multilinear, we can rewrite $\varphi(g_{m,q})$ as a sum of similar items $\tilde\varphi(g_{m,q})$ where $\tilde\varphi(z_i)$ equals either a'_i or a''_i. The item where all $\tilde\varphi(z_i) = a'_i \in T_i$, equals 0 since $I_1, \ldots, I_m$, $J_1, \ldots, J_m$ do not satisfy Conditions 1–2. For other items $\tilde\varphi(g_{m,q})$ we have $\sum_{i=1}^m \operatorname{ht} \tilde\varphi(z_i) > \sum_{i=1}^m \operatorname{ht} \varphi(z_i)$.

Thus without lost of generality we may assume that $I_1, \dots, I_m$, $J_1, \dots, J_m$ satisfy Conditions 1–2. In this case, $\dim(\rho(L) \cap \mathrm{Ann}(I_1/J_1) \cap \ldots \cap \mathrm{Ann}(I_m/J_m)) \geqslant \dim(\rho(L)) - d$. In virtue of Lemma 4,

$$\rho(L) \cap \mathrm{Ann}(I_1/J_1) \cap \ldots \cap \mathrm{Ann}(I_m/J_m) =$$

$$G \cap \mathrm{Ann}(I_1/J_1) \cap \ldots \cap \mathrm{Ann}(I_m/J_m) \;+\; S \cap \mathrm{Ann}(I_1/J_1) \cap \ldots \cap \mathrm{Ann}(I_m/J_m) \;+\; \rho(L) \cap J(A)$$

and we may assume that for every $1 \leqslant i \leqslant q$ there exists $y_{jk} \in Y_i$ such that either $\varphi(y_{jk}) \in G \cap \mathrm{Ann}(I_1/J_1) \cap \ldots \cap \mathrm{Ann}(I_m/J_m)$ or $\varphi(y_{jk}) \in S \cap \mathrm{Ann}(I_1/J_1) \cap \ldots \cap \mathrm{Ann}(I_m/J_m)$.

Consider the case when $\varphi(y_{kj}) \in G \cap \mathrm{Ann}(I_1/J_1) \cap \ldots \cap \mathrm{Ann}(I_m/J_m)$ for some y_{kj}. We can choose G-submodules T_k such that $I_k = T_k \oplus J_k$. We may assume that $\varphi(z_k) \in T_k$ since the elements of J_k has a greater height. Therefore $a\varphi(z_k) \in T_k$ and $a\varphi(z_k) \in J_k$ for all $a \in G \cap \mathrm{Ann}(I_1/J_1) \cap \ldots \cap \mathrm{Ann}(I_m/J_m)$. Hence $a\varphi(z_k) = 0$. Moreover, $G \cap \mathrm{Ann}(I_1/J_1) \cap \ldots \cap \mathrm{Ann}(I_m/J_m)$ is a Lie ideal of G and $[G, S] = 0$. Thus $\varphi(y_{k\sigma(1)} \ldots y_{k\sigma(j_k)} z_k) = 0$ for every $\sigma \in S_{j_k}$ and $\varphi(g_{m,q}) = 0$.

Consider the case when $\varphi(y_{k\ell}) \in S \cap \mathrm{Ann}(I_1/J_1) \cap \ldots \cap \mathrm{Ann}(I_m/J_m)$ for some $y_{k\ell} \in Y_q$. Expand the alternation Alt_q in $g_{m,q}$ and rewrite $g_{m,q}$ as a sum of

$$\tilde g_{m,q-1} = \mathrm{Alt}_1 \ldots \mathrm{Alt}_{q-1}((y_{11}y_{12}\ldots y_{1j_1})z_1(y_{21}y_{22}\ldots y_{2j_2})z_2 \ldots (y_{m1}y_{m2}\ldots y_{mj_m})z_m).$$

The operator Alt_q may change indices, however we keep the notation $y_{k\ell}$ for the variable with the property $\varphi(y_{k\ell}) \in S \cap \mathrm{Ann}(I_1/J_1) \cap \ldots \cap \mathrm{Ann}(I_m/J_m)$. Now the alternation does not affect $y_{k\ell}$. Note that

$$y_{k1}y_{k2}\ldots y_{kj_k}z_k = y_{k1}y_{k2}\ldots y_{k,\ell-1}y_{k,\ell+1}\ldots y_{kj_k}y_{k\ell}z_k +$$

$$\sum_{\beta=\ell+1}^{j_k} y_{k1}y_{k2}\ldots y_{k,\ell-1}y_{k,\ell+1}\ldots y_{k,\beta-1}[y_{k\ell}, y_{k\beta}]y_{k,\beta+1}\ldots y_{kj_k}z_k.$$

In the first item we replace $y_{k\ell}z_k$ with z'_k and define $\varphi'(z'_k) = \varphi(y_{k\ell})\varphi(z_k)$, $\varphi'(x) = \varphi(x)$ for other variables x. Then $\mathrm{ht}\,\varphi'(z'_k) > \mathrm{ht}\,\varphi(z_k)$ and we can use the inductive assumption. If $y_{k\beta} \in Y_j$ for some j, then we expand the alternation Alt_j in this summand in $\tilde g_{m,q-1}$. If $\varphi(y_{k\beta}) \in G$, then this summand is zero. If $\varphi(y_{k\beta}) \in S$, then $\varphi([y_{k\ell}, y_{k\beta}]) \in \rho(L) \cap J(A)$. We replace $[y_{k\ell}, y_{k\beta}]$ with an additional variable z'_{m+1} and define $\psi(z'_{m+1}) = \varphi([y_{k\ell}, y_{k\beta}])$, $\psi(x) = \varphi(x)$ for other variables x. Then the polynomial has the desired form. In each inductive step we reduce q no more than by 1 and the maximal number of inductive steps equals $(\dim A)m$. This finishes the proof. □

Since the Jacobson radical is a nilpotent ideal, $J(A)^p = 0$ for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 6. *If* $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s) \vdash n$ *and* $\lambda_{d+1} \geqslant p((\dim A)p + 3)$ *or* $\lambda_{\dim \rho(L)+1} > 0$*, then* $m(\rho, \lambda) = 0$.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that $e^*_{T_\lambda} f \in \mathrm{Id}(\rho)$ for every $f \in P_n$ and Young tableau T_λ, $\lambda \vdash n$, with $\lambda_{d+1} \geqslant p((\dim A)p + 3)$ or $\lambda_{\dim \rho(L)+1} > 0$.

Fix some basis of $\rho(L)$ that is a union of bases of G, S, and $\rho(L) \cap J(A)$. Since polynomials are multilinear, it is sufficient to substitute only basis elements. Note that $e^*_{T_\lambda} = b_{T_\lambda} a_{T_\lambda}$ and b_{T_λ} alternates the variables of each column of T_λ. Hence if we make a substitution and $e^*_{T_\lambda} f$ does not vanish, then this implies that different basis elements are substituted for the variables of each column. But if $\lambda_{\dim \rho(L)+1} > 0$, then the length of the first column is greater than $\dim \rho(L)$. Therefore, $e^*_{T_\lambda} f \in \mathrm{Id}(\rho)$.

Consider the case $\lambda_{d+1} \geqslant p((\dim A)p + 3)$. Let φ be a substitution of basis elements for the variables $x_1, \dots, x_n$. Then $e^*_{T_\lambda} f$ can be rewritten as a sum of polynomials $g_{m,q}$ where $1 \leqslant m \leqslant p$, $q \geqslant p((\dim A)p + 2)$, and z_i, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m - 1$, are replaced with elements of $\rho(L) \cap J(A)$. (For different summands $g_{m,q}$, numbers m and q, variables z_i, y_{ij}, and sets Y_i can be different.) Indeed, we expand symmetrization on all variables and alternation on the variables replaced with $\rho(L) \cap J(A)$. Also we expand alternation on the set that includes the last variable, which we denote by z_m.

Applying Lemma 5 many times, we increase m. The ideal $J(A)$ is nilpotent and $\varphi(g_{p+1,q}) = 0$ for every q. Reducing q no more than by $p((\dim A)p + 2)$, we obtain $\varphi(e^*_{T_\lambda} f) = 0$. □

Now we can prove

Theorem 2. *If $d > 0$, then there exist constants $C_2 > 0$, $r_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $c_n(\rho) \leqslant C_2 n^{r_2} d^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In the case $d = 0$, the equality $c_n(\rho) = 0$ holds for all $n \geqslant p((\dim A)p + 3) \cdot \dim \rho(L)$.*

Proof. Lemma 6 and [1, Lemmas 6.2.4, 6.2.5] imply

$$\sum_{m(\rho,\lambda)\neq 0} \dim M(\lambda) \leqslant C_3 n^{r_3} d^n \tag{1}$$

for some constants $C_3, r_3 > 0$. Denote by $\mathrm{Id}(A) \subseteq F\langle X\rangle$ the ideal of polynomial identities of the associative algebra A. Let $\chi_n(A) = \chi\left(\frac{P_n}{P_n \cap \mathrm{Id}(A)}\right) = \sum_\lambda m(A,\lambda)\chi(\lambda)$. Then $\mathrm{Id}(A) \subseteq \mathrm{Id}(\rho)$ and $m(\rho,\lambda) \leqslant m(A,\lambda) \leqslant C_4 n^{r_4}$ for some $C_4, r_4 > 0$ by [1, Lemma 4.9.2]. Together with (1) this implies the upper bound. □

3. Lower bound

By the definition of d, there exist left associative ideals I_1, I_2, …, I_r, J_1, J_2, …, J_r, $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, of the algebra A, satisfying Conditions 1–2, $J_k \supseteq I_k$, such that

$$d = \dim \frac{\rho(L)}{\rho(L) \cap \mathrm{Ann}(I_1/J_1) \cap \dots \cap \mathrm{Ann}(I_r/J_r)}.$$

We consider the case $d > 0$.

Without loss of generality we may assume that

$$\rho(L) \cap \bigcap_{k=1}^{r} \mathrm{Ann}(I_k/J_k) \neq \rho(L) \cap \bigcap_{\substack{k=1,\\ k\neq \ell}}^{r} \mathrm{Ann}(I_k/J_k)$$

for all $1 \leqslant \ell \leqslant r$. In particular, $\rho(L)$ has nonzero action on each I_k/J_k.

Our aim is to present a partition $\lambda \vdash n$ with $m(\rho,\lambda) \neq 0$ such that $\dim M(\lambda)$ has the desired asymptotic behavior. We will glue alternating polynomials constructed by Yu.P. Razmyslov's theorem from faithful irreducible modules over reductive algebras. In order to do this, we have to choose the reductive algebras.

Lemma 7. *There exist Lie ideals $G_1, \dots, G_r$ in G and elements $a_1, \dots, a_r \in S$ (some of a_i and G_j may be zero) such that*

1. $G_1 + \ldots + G_r = G_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus G_r$;
2. $\langle a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r\rangle_F = \langle a_1\rangle_F \oplus \ldots \oplus \langle a_r\rangle_F$;
3. $\sum_{k=1}^{r} (\dim(G_k \oplus \langle a_k\rangle_F) = d$;
4. *I_k/J_k is a faithful $G_k \oplus \langle a_k\rangle_F$-module;*
5. *$G_i I_k/J_k = a_i I_k/J_k = 0$ for $i > k$.*

Proof. Consider $N_\ell = \rho(L) \cap \bigcap_{k=1}^{\ell} \mathrm{Ann}(I_k/J_k)$, $1 \leqslant \ell \leqslant r$, $N_0 = \rho(L)$. Since G is semisimple, we can choose such ideals G_ℓ that $N_{\ell-1} \cap G = G_\ell \oplus (N_\ell \cap G)$. Note that $\dim(S/\mathrm{Ann}_S(I_\ell/J_\ell)) \leqslant 1$ since S acts by scalar operators by Lemma 3. Thus we can choose elements a_ℓ such that $N_{\ell-1} \cap S = \langle a_\ell \rangle_F \oplus (N_\ell \cap G)$. Hence Properties 1, 2, 4, 5 hold. By Lemma 4, $N_k = N_k \cap G + N_k \cap S + \rho(L) \cap J(A)$. Therefore,

$$N_{\ell-1} = G_\ell + N_\ell \cap G + \langle a_\ell \rangle_F + N_\ell \cap S + \rho(L) \cap J(A) = (G_\ell + \langle a_\ell \rangle_F) + N_\ell$$

(direct sum of subspaces) and Property 3 holds. ☐

Lemma 8. *For every $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r$ there exists a decomposition $a_i = b_i + c_i$ such that $c_i \in A$ acts as a diagonalizable operator on A and $b_i \in J(A)$. Furthermore, elements c_i commute with each other and b_i and c_i are polynomials in $a_1, \ldots, a_r$.*

Proof. The solvable Lie algebra $R + J(A)$ acts on the algebra $\tilde{A} = A + F \cdot 1$ by left multiplication. In virtue of the Lie theorem, there exists a basis in $\tilde{A}$ in which all the operators from $R + J(A)$ have upper triangular matrices. Denote the corresponding embedding $A \hookrightarrow M_m(F)$ by ψ. Here $m = \dim \tilde{A}$.

Let A_1 be the associative algebra generated by $a_1, \ldots, a_r$. Since $\psi(R) \subseteq \mathfrak{t}_\mathfrak{n}(F)$, we have $\psi(A_1) \subseteq UT_n(F)$. Here $UT_m(F)$ is the associative algebra of upper triangular matrices $m \times m$. There is a decomposition $UT_m(F) = Fe_{11} + Fe_{22} + \cdots + Fe_{mm} + N$ where $N = \langle e_{ij} \mid 1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant m \rangle_F$ is a nilpotent ideal. Thus there is no subalgebras in A_1 isomorphic to $M_2(F)$ and, by the Wedderburn — Malcev theorem, $A_1 = Fe_1 + \cdots + Fe_t + J(A_1)$ (a direct sum of subspaces) for some idempotents $e_i \in A_1$. Denote for every a_i its component in $J(A_1)$ by b_i and its component in $Fe_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Fe_t$ by c_i. Note that e_i are commuting diagonalizable operators. Thus they have a common basis of eigenvectors in $\tilde{A}$ and c_i are commuting diagonalizable operators too.

We claim that the space $J(A_1) + J(A)$ generates a nilpotent ideal I in A. First, $\psi(J(A_1)), \psi(J(A)) \subseteq UT_m(F)$ and consist of nilpotent elements. Thus, the corresponding matrices have zero diagonal elements and $\psi(J(A_1)), \psi(J(A)) \subseteq N$. Denote $N_k = \langle e_{ij} \mid i + k \leqslant j \rangle_F \subseteq N$. Then

$$N = N_1 \supsetneqq N_2 \supsetneqq \ldots \supsetneqq N_{m-1} \supsetneqq N_m = \{0\}.$$

Let $\operatorname{ht}_N a = k$ if $\psi(a) \in N_k$, $\psi(a) \notin N_{k+1}$.

Recall that $(J(A))^p = 0$. We claim that $I^{m+p} = 0$. The space I^{m+p} is a span of $h_1 j_1 h_2 j_2 \ldots j_{m+p} h_{m+p+1}$ where $j_k \in J(A_1) \cup J(A)$ and $h_k \in A \cup \{1\}$. If at least p elements j_k belong to $J(A)$, then the product equals 0.

Let $j_i \in J(A_1)$, $h_i \in A \cup \{1\}$. We prove by induction on ℓ that $h = j_1 h_1 j_2 h_2 \ldots h_{\ell-1} j_\ell$ can be expressed as a sum of $\tilde{j}_1 \tilde{j}_2 \ldots \tilde{j}_\alpha j'_1 j'_2 \ldots j'_\beta a$ where $\tilde{j}_i \in J(A_1)$, $j'_i \in J(A)$, $a \in A \cup \{1\}$, and $\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^{\beta} \operatorname{ht}_N j'_i \geqslant \ell$. Indeed, suppose that $h = j_1 h_1 j_2 h_2 \ldots h_{\ell-2} j_{\ell-1}$ can be expressed a sum of $\tilde{j}_1 \tilde{j}_2 \ldots \tilde{j}_\gamma j'_1 j'_2 \ldots j'_\varkappa a$ where $\tilde{j}_i \in J(A_1)$, $j'_i \in J(A)$, $a \in \tilde{A}$, and $\gamma + \sum_{i=1}^{\varkappa} \operatorname{ht}_N j'_i \geqslant \ell - 1$. Then $j_1 h_1 j_2 h_2 \ldots j_{\ell-1} h_{\ell-1} j_\ell$ is a sum of

$$\tilde{j}_1 \tilde{j}_2 \ldots \tilde{j}_\gamma j'_1 j'_2 \ldots j'_\varkappa a h_{\ell-1} j_\ell = \tilde{j}_1 \tilde{j}_2 \ldots \tilde{j}_\gamma j'_1 j'_2 \ldots j'_\varkappa [a h_{\ell-1}, j_\ell] + \tilde{j}_1 \tilde{j}_2 \ldots \tilde{j}_\gamma j'_1 j'_2 \ldots j'_\varkappa j_\ell (a h_{\ell-1}).$$

Note that, in virtue of the Jacobi identity and Lemma 1, $[a h_{\ell-1}, j_\ell] \in [A, R] \subseteq J(A)$. Thus it is sufficient to consider only the second item. However

$$\tilde{j}_1 \tilde{j}_2 \ldots \tilde{j}_\gamma j'_1 j'_2 \ldots j'_\varkappa j_\ell (a h_{\ell-1}) = \tilde{j}_1 \tilde{j}_2 \ldots \tilde{j}_\gamma j_\ell j'_1 j'_2 \ldots j'_\varkappa (a h_{\ell-1}) +$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\varkappa} \tilde{j}_1 \tilde{j}_2 \ldots \tilde{j}_\gamma j'_1 j'_2 \ldots j'_{i-1} [j'_i, j_\ell] j'_{i+1} \ldots j'_\varkappa (a h_{\ell-1}).$$

Since $[j'_i, j_\ell] \in J(A)$ and $\operatorname{ht}_N [j'_i, j_\ell] \geqslant 1 + \operatorname{ht}_N j'_i$, all the items have the desired form. Therefore,

$$j_1 h_1 j_2 h_2 \ldots j_{m-1} h_{m-1} j_m \in \psi^{-1}(N_m) = \{0\},$$

$I^{m+p} = 0$, and

$$J(A) \subseteq J(A_1) + J(A) \subseteq I \subseteq J(A).$$

In particular, $b_i \in J(A_1) \subseteq J(A)$. ☐

Lemma 9. *There exist complimentary subspaces $I_k = \tilde T_k \oplus J_k$ such that*

1. $\tilde T_k$ *is an irreducible* $G \oplus \langle c_1, \dots, c_r\rangle_F$*-submodule;*
2. c_i *acts on each* $\tilde T_k$ *as a scalar operator;*
3. $\tilde T_k$ *is a faithful* $G_k \oplus \langle c_k\rangle_F$*-module. Moreover,* $\sum\limits_{k=1}^{r} (\dim(G_k \oplus \langle c_k\rangle_F) = d$*;*
4. $G_i \tilde T_k = c_i \tilde T_k = 0$ *for* $i > k$*.*

Proof. The elements c_i are diagonalizable on A and commute. Therefore, an eigenspace of any c_i is invariant under the action of other c_k. Using induction, we split $A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\alpha} W_i$ where W_i are intersections of eigenspaces of c_k and elements c_k act as scalar operators on W_i. In virtue of Lemma 1 and the Jacobi identity, $[c_i, G] = 0$. Thus W_i are G-submodules and A is a completely reducible $G \oplus \langle c_1, \dots, c_r\rangle_F$-module. Therefore, for every J_k we can choose a complimentary $G \oplus \langle c_1, \dots, c_r\rangle_F$-submodules $\tilde T_k$ in I_k. Then $\tilde T_k \cong I_k/J_k$ is an irreducible G-module by Lemma 3. Property 1 is proven.

By Lemma 3, for every a_i there exists $\gamma \in F$ such that for every $h \in I_k$ we have $a_i h = \gamma h + j$ where $j \in J_k$. Thus $c_i h = a_i h - b_i h = \gamma h + (j - b_i h)$ where $j - b_i h \in J_k$. However, $c_i h \in \tilde T_k$ for $h \in \tilde T_k$, and $j - b_i h = 0$. Property 2 is proven.

By Lemma 7, each I_i/J_i is a faithful $G_i \oplus \langle a_i\rangle_F$-module and either $a_i = 0$ or a_i acts on I_i/J_i by a nonzero scalar operator. Thus either $a_i = c_i = 0$ or $a_i \neq 0$ and $c_i \neq 0$ acts on $\tilde T_i$ by a nonzero scalar operator that belongs to the center of $\operatorname{End}_F \tilde T_i$. Since all homomorphic images of G_i have zero center, each $\tilde T_i$ is a faithful $G_i \oplus \langle c_i\rangle_F$-module and $\dim(G_k \oplus \langle c_k\rangle_F) = \dim(G_k \oplus \langle a_k\rangle_F)$. Property 3 is proven.

Property 4 is a consequence of Property 1 of Lemma 9 and Property 5 of Lemma 7. ☐

Lemma 10. *Let M be a faithful irreducible module over a finite dimensional semisimple Lie algebra G and $\tilde G$ be a Lie ideal of G. Then there exist faithful irreducible $\tilde G$-submodules $\tilde M_i \subseteq M$ such that $M = \tilde M_1 \oplus \tilde M_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \tilde M_k$.*

Proof. There exists a semisimple ideal $\bar G$ such that $G = \tilde G \oplus \bar G$. By [5, Proposition 7.3.1'], $M \cong \tilde M \otimes \bar M$ for some irreducible $\tilde G$-module $\tilde M$ and irreducible $\bar G$-module $\bar M$. Both modules are faithful since M is faithful. Let $m_1, \dots, m_k$ be basis elements of $\bar M$. Then $M = (\tilde M \otimes m_1) \oplus (\tilde M \otimes m_2) \oplus \dots \oplus (\tilde M \otimes m_k)$ is a direct sum of faithful irreducible $\tilde G$-modules. ☐

By Condition 2 of the definition of $d(\rho)$, there exist elements $q_1, \dots, q_{r-1} \in A \cup \{1\}$ such that $\tilde T_1 q_1 \tilde T_2 \dots \tilde T_{r-1} q_{r-1} \tilde T_r \neq 0$. Note that $\tilde T_k$ is a faithful irreducible $G/(G \cap \operatorname{Ann}(I_k/J_k))$-module and

$$G_k \cong (G \cap \operatorname{Ann}(I_1/J_1) \cap \dots \cap \operatorname{Ann}(I_{k-1}/J_{k-1}))/(G \cap \operatorname{Ann}(I_1/J_1) \cap \dots \cap \operatorname{Ann}(I_k/J_k)) \cong$$

$$\Big(G \cap \operatorname{Ann}(I_1/J_1) \cap \dots \cap \operatorname{Ann}(I_{k-1}/J_{k-1}) + G \cap \operatorname{Ann}(I_k/J_k)\Big)/(G \cap \operatorname{Ann}(I_k/J_k)).$$

The last algebra is an ideal of $G/(G \cap \operatorname{Ann}(I_k/J_k))$ and the isomorphisms do not alter the action of the algebras. By Lemma 10, for every k we can choose an irreducible faithful $G_k \oplus \langle c_k\rangle_F$-submodule $T_k \subseteq \tilde T_k$ such that $T_1 q_1 T_2 \dots T_{r-1} q_{r-1} T_r \neq 0$. Moreover, we can insert $q_0 \in J(A) \cup \{1\}$ and multiply q_i by additional elements from $J(A)$ in such a way that

$$q_0 T_1 q_1 T_2 \dots T_{r-1} q_{r-1} T_r \neq 0, \tag{2}$$

but

$$q_0 T_1 q_1 T_2 \dots T_{k-1} q_{k-1} (j T_k) q_k T_{k+1} \dots T_{r-1} q_{r-1} T_r = 0 \tag{3}$$

for all $j \in J(A)$ and $1 \leqslant k \leqslant r$.

Lemma 11. *Let* $\varphi_i\colon G_i \oplus \langle a_i\rangle \to G_i \oplus \langle c_i\rangle$ *be isomorphisms defined by formulas* $\varphi_i(g) = g$ *for all* $g \in G_i$ *and* $\varphi_i(a_i) = c_i$. *Let* f_i *be multilinear polynomials and* $h_1^{(i)}, \ldots, h_{n_i}^{(i)} \in G_i \oplus \langle a_i\rangle_F$, $t_i \in \tilde T_i$ *be some elements. Then*

$$q_0\, f_1(h_1^{(1)}, \ldots, h_{n_1}^{(1)})\, t_1\ q_1\, f_2(h_1^{(2)}, \ldots, h_{n_2}^{(2)})\, t_2 \ldots q_{r-1}\, f_r(h_1^{(r)}, \ldots, h_{n_r}^{(r)})\, t_r =$$

$$q_0\, f_1(\varphi_1(h_1^{(1)}), \ldots, \varphi_1(h_{n_1}^{(1)}))\, t_1\ q_1\, f_2(\varphi_2(h_1^{(2)}), \ldots, \varphi_2(h_{n_2}^{(2)}))\, t_2$$

$$\ldots q_{r-1}\, f_r(\varphi_r(h_1^{(r)}), \ldots, \varphi_r(h_{n_r}^{(r)}))\, t_r.$$

In other words, we can replace a_i *with* c_i *and the result does not change.*

Proof. We rewrite $a_i = b_i + c_i = b_i + \varphi_i(a_i)$ and use the multilinearity of f_i. By (3), items with b_i vanish. □

Let $mk \leqslant n$ where $m, k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ are some numbers. Denote by $Q_{m,k,n} \subseteq P_n$ the subspace spanned by all polynomials that are alternating in k disjoint subsets of variables $\{x_1^i, \ldots, x_m^i\} \subseteq \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$.

We need the following theorem [3, Remark 12.1].

Theorem 3 (Yu. P. Razmyslov). *Let* B *be a reductive Lie algebra over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, and let* $\dim B = m$. *Let* $\tau\colon B \hookrightarrow U$ *be an embedding of* B *to a simple associative algebra* U *generated by* $\tau(B)$, *with a nonzero center. Then there exist* k *and a multilinear polynomial* $f \in Q_{m,k,mk} \backslash \operatorname{Id}(\tau)$ *such that* $f(\tau(p_1), \ldots, \tau(p_{mk}))$ *belongs to the center of* U *for all* $p_i \in B$.

Lemma 12. *If* $d \ne 0$, *then there exist numbers* $k, n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that for every* $n \geqslant n_0$ *there exist disjoint subsets* X_1, …, $X_{k\ell} \subseteq \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$, $\ell = \left[\frac{n-n_0}{kd}\right]$, $|X_1| = \ldots = |X_{k\ell}| = d$ *and a polynomial* $f \in P_n \backslash \operatorname{Id}(\rho)$ *alternating on the variables of each set* X_j.

Proof. Recall that each T_i is a faithful irreducible $G_i \oplus \langle c_i\rangle_F$-module. Denote by τ_i the embedding $G_i \oplus \langle c_i\rangle \hookrightarrow \operatorname{End}_F(T_i)$. In virtue of the density theorem, the space $\tau_i(G_i \oplus \langle c_i\rangle)$ generates $\operatorname{End}_F(T_i)$ as an associative algebra. The center of $\operatorname{End}_F(T_i)$ is a linear span of its unit id_{T_i}. In virtue of Theorem 3, there exists a multilinear polynomial $f_i \in Q_{d_i,k_i,k_i d_i} \backslash \operatorname{Id}(\rho_i)$, $d_i = \dim(G_i \oplus \langle c_i\rangle)$, for some $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfying the following property. If we substitute any elements from $\tau_i(G_i \oplus \langle c_i\rangle)$, for the variables of f_i, then the result belongs to the center of $\operatorname{End}_F(T_i)$. Therefore, $\tau_i(f_i(h_1, \ldots, h_{d_i k_i})) = \mathrm{id}_{T_i}$ for some $h_\alpha \in G_i \oplus \langle c_i\rangle_F$ and $f_i(h_1, \ldots, h_{d_i k_i}) t_i = t_i$ for all $t_i \in T_i$. We can consider products of several copies of f_i in different variables and assume that $k_1 = k_2 = \ldots = k_r = k$.

We may assume that q_i in (2) are products of some elements from $\rho(L)$. Fix some numbers $\ell, \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and consider the polynomial $f^{(\alpha)} = y_{01} \ldots y_{0\theta_0} f_1^\alpha\, \tilde f$ where

$$\tilde f = (f_1^\ell z_1)\, y_{11} \ldots y_{1\theta_1} (f_2^\ell z_2)\, y_{21} \ldots y_{2\theta_2} (f_3^\ell z_3) \ldots (f_{r-1}^\ell z_{r-1}) y_{r-1,1} \ldots y_{r-1,\theta_{r-1}} (f_r^\ell z_r).$$

Here the variables of all copies of f_i are different and $f^{(\alpha)}$ is a multilinear polynomial of degree $\ell k d + r + \alpha k d_1 + \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \theta_i$. The number θ_i is chosen in such a way that the product $y_{i1} \ldots y_{i\theta_i}$ equals q_i after some substitution of elements from $\rho(L)$. If we carry out this substitution and replace z_i with elements of T_i, then, by Lemma 11, it is not important whether we replace the variables of f_i with a_i or c_i. Equation (2) and the choice of f_i imply that $f^{(\alpha)} \notin \operatorname{Id}(\rho)$ for all $\alpha \geqslant 0$.

Each copy of f_i, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r$, is alternating on disjoint sets $X_1^{i,j}$, …, $X_k^{i,j}$ where $|X_1^{i,j}| = \ldots = |X_k^{i,j}| = d_i$ and $1 \leqslant j \leqslant \ell$ is the number of the copy in $\tilde f$. Denote $X_{(j-1)k+v} = \coprod_{i=1}^{r} X_v^{i,j}$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant \ell$, $1 \leqslant v \leqslant k$. Consider a polynomial $\tilde f^{(\alpha)} = y_{01} \ldots y_{0\theta_0} f_1^\alpha \operatorname{Alt}_1 \ldots \operatorname{Alt}_{k\ell} \tilde f$ where the operator Alt_j alternates a polynomial on the variables of X_j. We claim that $\tilde f^{(\alpha)}$ is not a polynomial identity. We have mentioned that there exists a substitution of elements from $\rho(L)$ such that $f^{(\alpha)}$ is not a polynomial identity, elements of $G_i \oplus \langle a_i \rangle_F$ are substituted for the variables of $X_v^{i,j}$, and each z_i is replaced with an element of T_i. We carry out this substitution for the variables of $\tilde f^{(\alpha)}$.

Note that the alternation does not change z_i. Thus Property 4 of Lemma 9 implies that all the summands of alternation in which variables from $X_v^{i,j}$ are put on the places of variables from $X_v^{i',j}$, $i > i'$, vanish. Hence we may consider only those summands where $X_v^{i,j}$ are invariant. Recall that each f_i is alternating on $X_v^{i,j}$. Thus the result of the substitution for the variables of $\tilde f^{(\alpha)}$ equals $(d_1!d_2!\dots d_r!)^{k\ell}\, b \neq 0$ where b is the result of the original substitution for the variables of $f^{(\alpha)}$. Therefore, $\tilde f^{(\alpha)} \notin \mathrm{Id}(\rho)$.

Denote $n_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \theta_i + r$. For a given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\ell = \left[\frac{n-n_0}{kd}\right]$, $\gamma = n - \ell k d - n_0$, and $\alpha = \left[\frac{\gamma-\theta_0}{kd_1}\right] + 1$. Expand the first α copies of f_1 in $\tilde f^{(\alpha)}$. At least one of the items obtained is not a polynomial identity. Denote it by $\hat f^{(\alpha)}$. Remove $(\alpha k d_1 + \theta_0 - \gamma)$ initial variables in $\hat f^{(\alpha)}$ and denote the polynomial obtained by f. Then

$$f = u_1 u_2 \dots u_\gamma \operatorname{Alt}_1 \dots \operatorname{Alt}_{k\ell} \tilde f \in P_n \backslash \mathrm{Id}(\rho)$$

satisfies all the conditions of Lemma 12. Here u_i are some variables from $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$. ☐

Lemma 13. *Let k, n_0, ℓ be the numbers from Lemma 12. Then for every $n \geqslant n_0$ there exists a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s) \vdash n$, $\lambda_i > k\ell - C$ for every $1 \leqslant i \leqslant d$, with $m(\rho, \lambda) \neq 0$. Here $C = p((\dim A)p + 3)((\dim \rho(L)) - d)$.*

Proof. Consider the polynomial f from Lemma 12. It is sufficient to prove that $e^*_{T_\lambda} FS_n f \not\subseteq \mathrm{Id}(\rho)$ for some tableau T_λ of a desired shape λ. It is known that $FS_n = \bigoplus_{\lambda, T_\lambda} FS_n e^*_{T_\lambda}$ where the summation runs over the set of all standard tableax T_λ, $\lambda \vdash n$. Thus $FS_n f = \sum_{\lambda, T_\lambda} FS_n e^*_{T_\lambda} f \not\subseteq \mathrm{Id}(\rho)$ and $e^*_{T_\lambda} f \notin \mathrm{Id}(\rho)$ for some $\lambda \vdash n$. We claim that λ is of a desired shape. It is sufficient to prove that $\lambda_d > k\ell - C$, since $\lambda_i \geqslant \lambda_d$ for every $1 \leqslant i \leqslant d$. Indeed, each row of T_λ includes numbers of no more than one variable from each X_i, since $e^*_{T_\lambda} = b_{T_\lambda} a_{T_\lambda}$ and a_{T_λ} is symmetrizing the variables of each row. Thus $\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \lambda_i \leqslant \ell k(d-1) + (n - \ell k d) = n - \ell k$. In virtue of Lemma 6, $\sum_{i=1}^{d} \lambda_i > n - C$. Therefore $\lambda_d > \ell k - C$. ☐

Proof of Theorem 1. The Young diagram D_λ from Lemma 13 contains the rectangular subdiagram D_μ, $\mu = (\underbrace{k\ell - C, \dots, k\ell - C}_{d})$. The branching rule for S_n implies that if we consider a restriction of S_n-action on $M(\lambda)$ to S_{n-1}, then $M(\lambda)$ becomes the direct sum of all non-isomorphic FS_{n-1}-modules $M(\nu)$, $\nu \vdash (n-1)$, where each D_ν is obtained from D_λ by deleting one box. In particular, $\dim M(\nu) \leqslant \dim M(\lambda)$. Applying the rule several times, we obtain $\dim M(\mu) \leqslant \dim M(\lambda)$. By the hook formula, $\dim M(\mu) = \frac{(d(k\ell - C))!}{\prod_{i,j} h_{ij}}$ where h_{ij} is the length of the hook with edge in (i,j). By Stirling formula,

$$\dim M(\mu) \geqslant \frac{(d(k\ell - C))!}{((k\ell - C + d)!)^d} \sim \frac{\sqrt{2\pi d(k\ell - C)}\left(\frac{d(k\ell-C)}{e}\right)^{d(k\ell - C)}}{\left(\sqrt{2\pi (k\ell - C + d)}\left(\frac{k\ell - C + d}{e}\right)^{k\ell - C + d}\right)^d} \sim C_5 \ell^{r_5} d^{dk\ell}$$

as $\ell \to \infty$ for some constants $C_5 > 0$, $r_5 \in \mathbb{Q}$. Since $\ell = \left[\frac{n-n_0}{kd}\right]$, this gives the lower bound. The upper bound has been proved in Theorem 2. ☐

4. Acknowledgements

I am grateful to Yuri Bahturin and Mikhail Kotchetov for helpful discussions.

References

[1] Giambruno, A., Zaicev, M. V. (2005). Polynomial identities and asymptotic methods. AMS Mathematical Surveys and Monographs Vol. 122, Providence, R.I., 352 pp.

[2] Zaitsev, M. V. Integrality of exponents of growth of identities of finite-dimensional Lie algebras. *Izv. Math.* **66** (2002): 463–487 .

[3] Razmyslov, Yu. P. (1994). Identities of algebras and their representations, Transl. Math. Monogr., vol. 138, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI.

[4] Plotkin, B. I., Vovsi, S. M. (1983) Varieties of group representations: general theory, connections, and applications. Riga, Zinante. (Russian)

[5] Goto, M., Grosshans, F. (1978) Semisimple Lie algebras. Mercel Dekker, New York and Basel.

[6] Bakhturin, Yu. A. (1987). Identical relations in Lie algebras. VNU Science Press, Utrecht.

[7] Drensky, V. S. (2000). Free algebras and PI-algebras: graduate course in algebra. Singapore, Springer-Verlag.

Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, NL, Canada
E-mail address: `asgordienko@mun.ca`